particular officer of ICAR for circumventing the implementation of the judgement of CAT and the Supreme Court; and

(c) if so, whether will initiate appropriate action against the said officer for flouting court judgement and acting in violation of rule of law and the constitution?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (SHRI ARVIND NETAM): (a) Yes, Sir, the judgement of the 'CAT' in case No. 511/90 which relates to the relevance of the service rendered in the 'S' grade of ARS or equivalent grades for computing the total length of service for the purpose of placement! promotion in the UGC pay package adopted by the ICAR, vide their notification dated 9-3-89 has already been implemented by the ICAR in respect of S-2 and S.3 Scientists in position as on 31-12-85. The matter regar-dng exact implications of the judgement] in respect of S-l Scientist (Scale Rs. 700-1300) in position on 31-12-85 was referre to Ministry of Law and Justice on 16-12-92. That Ministry desired that opinion of Deptt, of Per & Trailing may be obtained.

Since UGC pay package was adopted by the ICAR with the approval of Ministry of Finance, the Ale was referred to that Ministry on 24-12-92. The file was again referred to Ministry of Law on 3-2-93. That Ministry has again advised to seek opinion of Deptt, of Per & Training.

(b) Yes Sir.

(c) The complaint made against the officer of ICAR is not correct. No court orders have been flouted by the GovernmentlICAR. Therefore, question of initiating action against any officer of the ICAR]Govt. of India does not arise.

Promotion of Scientists in ICAR

516. SHRI SHIV PRATAP MISHRA; Will the Minister of AGRICULTURB be pleased to state:

(a) whether the case of counting of "S" grade and equivalent service in respect of promotion of scientists in the pay scale of Rs. 2200-400 under Career Advancement Scheme has been referred to Mnistry of Finance during January 1993 for advice in the light of judgement given by the CAT in case No. 511/90.

(b) if so, what advice Ministry of Finance has given to ICAR for implementing of ICAR circular dated 5th February, 1992 amending Career Advancement Scheme; and

(c) what further steps are proposed to be taken on this matter and the reasons for non implementing by the ICAR its own circular of 5th Feb ruary, 1992?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (SHRI ARVIND NETAM); (a) Yes, Sir. The case was referred to Ministry of Finance on 24-12-92.

(b) The Ministry of Finance ad,vised ICAR on 25-1-93 to obtain the advice of Ministry of Law and Jus tice (Deptt, of Legal Affairs) regar ding exact implications of the judge- mbent of the 'CAT' in case No. 511l90 in respect of Career Advancement Scheme.

(c) The case was referred to the Ministry of Law and Justice in 3-2-93 who have advised that views of Deptt, of Personnel and Training may be sought first. Accordingly, the case is now being referred to that Deptt. Further, action will be taken in the light of advice as will be given by the Miinstry of Person nel & Training, Deptt, of Legal Af fairs! Ministry of Finance.

Promotion of Scientists in ICAR

517. SHRI SHIV PRATAP MISHRA; Will the Minister of AGRICULTURE be pleased to refer to the Unstared Queston 3834 given in the